1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER FOR THE CITY OF RENTON
9	)
10	RE: Kinkade Crossing Plat  FINAL DECISION
11	Preliminary Plat  LUA15-000695, ECF, PP
12	)
13	
14	SUMMARY
15	The analicent requests multiminary plot approved for a 17-let residential cubdivision. The analicent
16	The applicant requests preliminary plat approval for a 17 lot residential subdivision. The applicant also verbally requested a modification to change the orientation of the houses on Lots 1 and 2 (RMC 4-7-170.D). The preliminary plat is approved with conditions. The requested development standard modification with respect to lot orientation is denied.
17	
18	
19	TESTIMONY
20	The hearing began on November 24, 2015 and was continued to December 22, 2015.
21	November 24, 2015
22	Vanessa Dolbee, Senior Planner, City of Renton
23	Vanessa Dolbee stated the applicant wanted to continue the hearing until December 22, 2015. The
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	City wanted to ensure the continuation was for the applicant to provide new information rather than rebut the existing staff report. The examiner granted the continuation request.
26	Patrick Mullaney, Attorney, Foster Pepper

2 3

Mr. Mullaney is the applicant's attorney. He stated the applicant hoped to work through the issues with the staff. He stated if the issues could not be dealt with today or during the continuance; there would be legal issues that needed to be addressed. The applicant would not waive their rights to deal with legal issues they found in the staff report.

4

5

# Clark Close, Planner, City of Renton

Mr. Close described the project. Mr. Close noted there are 24 exhibits included in the staff report. He 6 asked to submit a revised stormwater report (Ex. 25) and a revised predevelopment map (Ex. 26).

8

Mr. Close noted the applicant was requesting a preliminary plat to subdivide 3.63 acres into 17 residential lots and three tracts for storm drainage, roads and a shared driveway. Lots will have a net density of 7.8 du/acre. Mr. Close described the tract and surrounding area and uses. There are no critical areas on or adjacent to the subject property. Mr. Close noted the soils do no perc well and the stormwater pond design accounts for that.

10

11

Staff received a public records request from the adjacent neighbor in response to the Notice of Hearing. The Environmental Review Committee issued an SEPA DNS. No appeals were filed.

12 13

The proposal complies with the Comprehensive Plan and all development codes, as conditioned.

14

December 22, 2015 Hearing Continuation

15 16

Clark Close, Planner, City of Renton

17

Mr. Close provided an update to the record with a summary of design changes since the prior hearing date. He noted the new project description included a 17 lot subdivision with two tracts. The roadway configuration has been redesigned. Access is now proposed as a ½ street improvement from 116<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE. The previously proposed hammerhead has been eliminated. The park track was also eliminated. On the whole, the new design provides for better access through the entire plat.

19

20

21

18

The right of way width and development density were both increased and now comply with the R-8 zoning standards for minimum lot size, and the bulk and dimensional requirements. There may be a conflict between the required stormwater pond sizing and lots 1 and 2, but these issues can be dealt with during the permitting stage. The proposed tree retention is acceptable.

22

Mr. Close noted the revised staff conditions of approval (Ex. 32).

23

24 Patrick Mullaney, Attorney, Foster Pepper

25 26

Mr. Mullaney stated the continuance had been helpful to the applicant and that most issues have been dealt with. Mr. Mullaney stated there were two remaining items of contention, both with respect to

1 the stormwater pond and surrounding tracts. To meet the required setbacks for Lots 1 and 2, the applicant is requesting a shared access drive rather than alley access and a modification to the 2 orientation and/or setback standards to allow the applicant to place the front of the houses adjacent to the shared driveway rather than perpendicular to the street. They further requested flexibility to access 3 Lot 13 either off Road A or the alley. 4 Vanessa Dolbee, Senior Planner, City of Renton 5 Ms. Dolbee stated the City did not support the proposed changes because they want to reduce curb 6 cuts and improve pedestrian safety and mobility. They also did not support changing the orientation of the front of the houses away from perpendicular to the roadway. Staff feels the lots are of adequate size to meet the width and depth standards without the modification. She also noted the applicant had 8 not previously requested a formal Modification with respect to the lot orientation, though they could do so later. 9 Mr. Mullaney stated RMC 4-11-250-A allows for consideration of all lot configurations to allow 10 shared curb cuts. There will not be significant traffic on this dead end road with alleys. There is 11 already a curb cut for Lot 2 on Road A. 12 With respect to the lot orientation issue, Mr. Mullaney stated the stormwater pond has steep walls. The applicant will need to go to considerable expense to construct the homes in the standard 13 orientation. Expense that could be avoided by allowed an alternate configuration of the house with 14 respect to the roadway. 15 Mr. Close stated the Staff would agree to the shared access, but do not recommend approval of the modification with respect to the orientation of the houses. 16 17 **EXHIBITS** 18 Exhibits 1-24 listed on page 2 of the November 24, 2015 Staff Report, in addition to the Staff Report 19 itself (Ex. 1), were admitted into evidence the public hearing. Additional exhibits admitted during 20 the hearing include: 21 Ex. 25 – Applicant's revised stormwater plan Ex. 26 – Applicant's revised predevelopment map 22 Ex. 27 – November 24, 2015 Renton Staff PowerPoint Presentation 23 Ex. 28 – Email correspondence and Examiner's Continuance Ruling Ex. 29 – Renton Core Maps Website 24 Ex. 30 – Google Maps

Ex. 31 – December 22, 2015 Renton Staff PowerPoint Presentation

Ex. 32 – December 17, 2015 Memo to the Hearing Examiner from Staff

25

26

# **Procedural:**

3

Philip Kitzes, PK Enterprises, 23035 SE 263<sup>rd</sup> St., Maple Valley, WA 98038 1. Applicant.

FINDINGS OF FACT

4 5

Hearing. Renton Hearing Examiner Phil Olbrechts held a hearing on the subject application on November 24, 2015. The hearing was continued on December 22, 2015 with Renton Hearing Examiner Pro Tem Emily Terrell presiding. Both portions of the hearing were held in the City of Renton Council Chambers.

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

Project Description. The applicant is requesting a Preliminary Plat (revised on December 15, 2015) in order to subdivide a 3.63 area property into 17 lots and two (2) tracts for the future construction of single family residences. The project site is located at 17709 116th Ave SE, Renton, WA. The development includes two tracts, a stormwater drainage tract (Tract "B") and a landscaping tract (Tract "A"). The project site is located within the Residential-8 zoning designation. The site contains an existing single family residence and accessory structures, which are proposed to be removed. The proposed lot sizes would range in area from 5,000 sq. ft. to 7,818 sq. ft. The net density as proposed is 7.8 dwelling units/acre, within the permitted density range of the R-8 zone. Access to the proposed lots is planned via extending the residential access road (SE 177th Pl) to the east with half-street connection to 116th Ave SE; an additional north south road would provide access to the majority of the lots. No critical areas have been mapped on the project site.

The majority of the lots meet the requirements for lot dimension, including lot width and depth if averaged. As proposed, Lots 1 and 2 do not comply with minimum lot depth due to their proposed orientation. According to the Staff Report, additional engineering would be required to further evaluate the configuration and required dimensions of the stormwater pond. There appears to be sufficient area within the net area of the parcel to comply with all lot development standards of the zone. Therefore, staff recommends, as a condition of approval, that the applicant shall comply with all development standards of the R-8 zone. A revised site plan shall be submitted to, and approved by, the Current Planning Project Manager and Plan Reviewer prior to construction permit issuance, to address the orientation of Lots 1 and 2.

The properties surrounding the subject site are single-family residences and are designated R-4, R-8 or R-14 on the City's zoning maps. The proposal is similar to existing development patterns in the area and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code, which encourages infill development.

- 4. <u>Adequacy of Infrastructure/Public Services</u>. The project will be served by adequate infrastructure and public services as follows:
  - A. <u>Water and Sewer Service</u>. The site is located in the Soos Creek Water and Sewer District (SCWSD). The Applicant provided a Certificate of Water Availability (Ex. 13) and a Certificate of Sewer Availability (Ex. 14) from SCWSD.
  - B. <u>Police and Fire Protection</u>. Police and Fire Prevention Staff indicate that sufficient resources exist to furnish services to the proposed development; subject to the condition that the Applicant provides Code required improvements and fees. A Fire Impact Fee, based on new single-family lot with credit given for the existing single-family residence, is required (Ex. 16). The fee is payable to the City as specified by the Renton Municipal Code.
  - C. <u>Drainage</u>. Drainage will be adequately addressed. The applicant provided a Preliminary Technical Information Report prepared by ESM Consulting Engineers (Ex. 11) as well as a revised stormwater plan (Ex. 25). According to the drainage reports, the project will provide Level 2 Flow Control and Basic Water Quality treatment in accordance with the 2009 King County Surface Water design Manual (KCSWDM). The flow control facility will be sized to match the flow duration of forested conditions. The applicant has proposed a public storm water facility, a combined detention/water quality pond. As a result of staff input between the November 24<sup>th</sup> and December 22<sup>nd</sup> hearing dates, the applicant revised the stormwater pond design. The new pond was both narrowed and lengthened. A condition of approval will require approval of final plans demonstrating compliance with the 2009 King County Surface Water design Manual (KCSWDM) at the time of the utility construction permit.
  - D. <u>Parks/Open Space</u>. The Applicant will be required to pay a Parks and Recreation Impact fee at the time of final plat recording. The applicant will also provide a 5' wide bike lane along 116<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE. RMC 4-2-115, which governs open space requirements for residential development, does not have any specific requirements for open space for residential development in the R-4 district. The impact fees provide for adequate parks and open space.
  - E. <u>Streets</u>. Access to all lots would be provided along two new public roads (Road A or Road B) or via an alley. Primary access to the site is proposed via a new half-street intersection on 116th Ave SE. The adjacent residential street to the west, SE 177th Pl, will be extended (Road B) and thereby allow a connection with 116th Ave SE. Road A

will be a residential access road, beginning south of SE 177th Pl. A 16-foot wide alley is located at the north end of Road A and extends to serve Parcel No. 3223059071.

The applicant is proposing to dead end Road A along the northeast property line of Lot 5. Staff recommends, as a condition of approval, that the applicant place the east 53 feet of Lot 5 within a separate tract. The tract shall include ingress, egress, utility, common open space, and tree retention. At the southernmost terminus of Road A, a future roadway sign shall be installed along the eastern most half the road improvements. A condition of approval will require the applicant to submit a revised plat plan for approval by the Current Planning Project Manager and Plan Reviewer prior to construction permit issuance.

The proposed plat is anticipated to generate additional traffic on the City's street system. A Transportation Impact Fee, per net new average daily trip attributed to the project, with credit given for the existing single-family residences, was recommended as part of the SEPA review. The fee would be used to mitigate the proposal's potential impacts to the City's transportation system and is payable to the City as specified by the Renton Municipal Code.

- F. Tree Retention. The site is currently occupied by a single family residence and associated outbuildings. The property is covered with a variety of trees. Several medium diameter trees are located around the existing residence and in the southern portion of the property, including Douglas-fir, spruce, London plane, noble fir, and deodar cedar, maple, birch, pine, and several fruit trees (Ex. 3, 4, & 12). The Arborist Report identified 25 significant trees over 6-caliper inches in diameter on the parcel (Ex. 12). Three (3) of the 25 trees were classified as poor. A minimum of 30% of the healthy, significant trees must be retained after deductions for street ROW. The existing Arborist Report delineates trees scheduled for retention under the prior lot and road layout. A new report demonstrating compliance with tree retention standards under the present plat configuration is required. A condition of approval requires the applicant to submit a revised Tree Retention Plan to the Current Planning Project Manager for review and approval prior to the issuance of construction permits.
- G. <u>Landscaping</u>. As proposed the conceptual landscape plan complies with the 10-foot wide on-site landscape requirement. However, there are no trees and/or shrubs proposed in the portions of on-site street frontage landscaping. Street trees are required to be planted in the planting area. A condition of approval will require the Applicant to submit a final

detailed landscape for approval by the Current Planning Project Manager prior to construction permit issuance.

- H. <u>Parking</u>. Sufficient area exists, on each lot, to accommodate required off street parking for a minimum of two vehicles.
- I. <u>Schools</u>. The Renton School District can accommodate any additional students generated by this proposal at the following schools: Benson Hill Elementary, Nelson Middle School and Lindbergh High School (Ex. 18). A School Impact Fee, based on new single-family lot, will be required in order to mitigate the proposal's potential impacts to the Renton School District. The fee is payable to the City as specified by the Renton Municipal Code. Currently the fee is assessed at \$5,541.00 per single family residence.
- 5. <u>Adverse Impacts</u>. There are no adverse impacts associated with the proposal. As discussed in Finding of Fact No. 4, the proposal provides for adequate infrastructure and is served by adequate public services. There are no critical areas on site.

## **Conclusions of Law**

- 1. <u>Authority</u>. RMC 4-7-020(C) and 4-7-050(D)(5) provide that the Hearing Examiner shall hold a hearing and issue a final decision on preliminary plat applications.
- 2. <u>Zoning/Comprehensive Plan Designations</u>. The subject property is zoned Residential 8 dwelling units per net acre (R-8). The comprehensive plan map land use designation is Residential Medium Density.
- 3. <u>Review Criteria</u>. Chapter 4-7 RMC governs the criteria for subdivision review. Applicable standards are quoted below in italics and applied through corresponding conclusions of law.
- **RMC 4-7-080(B):** A subdivision shall be consistent with the following principles of acceptability:
- 21 1. Legal Lots: Create legal building sites which comply with all provisions of the City Zoning Code.
  - 2. Access: Establish access to a public road for each segregated parcel.
  - 3. Physical Characteristics: Have suitable physical characteristics. A proposed plat may be denied because of flood, inundation, or wetland conditions. Construction of protective improvements may be required as a condition of approval, and such improvements shall be noted on the final plat.

- 4. As noted in Finding of Fact 3, proposed Lots 1 and 2 do not comply with the bulk and dimensional standards of RMC 4-7-170(D). The applicant verbally requested a modification from this standard to allow them to reorient the lot lines. They have not applied for a formal Modification. City staff believes adequate area exists to allow for the reconfigured storm pond while still meeting the lot width, depth, size and orientation requirements of the zone. A condition of approval will require the applicant to submit a revised plat plan demonstrating compliance with the bulk and dimensional standards of the R-8 district.
- The request for lot depth modification was not consolidated into the subdivision application and was therefore not subject to notice as part of the public hearing. The request for lot depth modification cannot be considered as part of this decision. Nothing in this decision prevents the applicant from submitting a formal request to modification to staff for staff approval.
- As noted in Finding of Fact 4g, this criterion is not satisfied with respect to landscaping requirements. The proposed lots comply with all other requirements of the R-8 zoning district as detailed by pages 2-3 of the December 17, 2015 Memo to the Hearing Examiner (Ex. 32), which is adopted and incorporated by this reference as if set forth in full. As shown on the revised preliminary plat map, (Ex. 1 of Ex. 32), each lot will access Road A, Road B or the alley. There are no critical areas on site. The developable site has physical characteristics suitable for development. As determined in the Finding of Fact No. 4, and as conditioned, the proposal makes adequate provision for drainage, streets water and sewer.
- **RMC 4-7-080(I)(1):** ...The Hearing Examiner shall assure conformance with the general purposes of the Comprehensive Plan and adopted standards...
- 5. The proposed preliminary play is consistent with the Renton Comprehensive Plan as outlined in page 4-5 of the November 25, 2015 staff report, which is incorporated by this reference as if set forth in full.
- **RMC 4-7-120(A):** No plan for the replatting, subdivision, or dedication of any areas shall be approved by the Hearing Examiner unless the streets shown therein are connected by surfaced road or street (according to City specifications) to an existing street or highway.
- 6. Road A will connect to 116<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE and to SE 177<sup>th</sup> Place via Road B.
- **RMC 4-7-120(B):** The location of all streets shall conform to any adopted plans for streets in the City.

The internal roads, Roads A and B, will connect 116<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE to SE 177<sup>th</sup> Place. 7.

2

3

**RMC 4-7-120(C):** *If a subdivision is located in the area of an officially designed [sic] trail,* provisions shall be made for reservation of the right-of-way or for easements to the City for trail purposes.

The staff report and administrative record do not identify any officially designated trail in the

1. Land Unsuitable for Subdivision: Land which is found to be unsuitable for subdivision includes land with features likely to be harmful to the safety and general health of the future residents (such

as lands adversely affected by flooding, steep slopes, or rock formations). Land which the

Department or the Hearing Examiner considers inappropriate for subdivision shall not be

according to chapter 86.16 RCW before the Department and the Hearing Examiner shall consider

b. Steep Slopes: A plat, short plat, subdivision or dedication which would result in the creation of a lot or lots that primarily have slopes forty percent (40%) or greater as measured per RMC 4-3-

050J1a, without adequate area at lesser slopes upon which development may occur, shall not be

3. Land Clearing and Tree Retention: Shall comply with RMC 4-4-130, Tree Retention and Land

subdivided unless adequate safeguards are provided against these adverse conditions.

4

8.

5

vicinity.

6

**RMC 4-7-130(C):** A plat, short plat, subdivision or dedication shall be prepared in conformance 7 with the following provisions:

8

9

10

11

12 a. Flooding/Inundation: If any portion of the land within the boundary of a preliminary plat is subject to flooding or inundation, that portion of the subdivision must have the approval of the State

13

14

such subdivision.

Clearing Regulations.

approved.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 4. Streams:

22

23

24

25

26

a. Preservation: Every reasonable effort shall be made to preserve existing streams, bodies of water, and wetland areas.

b. Method: If a stream passes through any of the subject property, a plan shall be presented which indicates how the stream will be preserved. The methodologies used should include an overflow area, and an attempt to minimize the disturbance of the natural channel and stream bed.

c. Culverting: The piping or tunneling of water shall be discouraged and allowed only when going under streets.

d. Clean Water: Every effort shall be made to keep all streams and bodies of water clear of debris and pollutants.

9. As discussed in Conclusion of Law No. 4, and as conditioned, the land is suitable for development. The property is not designated as a floodplain and there are no critical areas on-site.

As discussed in Finding of Fact 4f, the property is covered with a variety of trees. The Arborist Report identified 25 significant trees over 6-caliper inches in diameter on the parcel (Ex. 12). A minimum of 30% of the healthy, significant trees must be retained after deductions for street ROW. The existing Arborist Report delineates trees scheduled for retention under the prior lot and road layout. A new report demonstrating compliance with tree retention standards under the present plat configuration is required. A condition of approval requires the applicant to submit a revised Tree Retention Plan to the Current Planning Project Manager for review and approval prior to the issuance of construction permits.

RMC 4-7-140: Approval of all subdivisions located in either single family residential or multifamily residential zones as defined in the Zoning Code shall be contingent upon the subdivider's dedication of land or providing fees in lieu of dedication to the City, all as necessary to mitigate the adverse effects of development upon the existing park and recreation service levels. The requirements and procedures for this mitigation shall be per the City of Renton Parks Mitigation Resolution.

10. A condition of approval requires the payment of Park and Recreation Impact fees.

RMC 4-7-150(A): The proposed street system shall extend and create connections between existing streets unless otherwise approved by the Public Works Department. Prior to approving a street system that does not extend or connect, the Reviewing Official shall find that such exception shall meet the requirements of subsection E3 of this Section. The roadway classifications shall be as defined and designated by the Department.

11. Road A will connect to 116th Avenue SE and to SE 177th Place via Road B.

**RMC 4-7-150(B):** All proposed street names shall be approved by the City.

12. As conditioned.

**RMC 4-7-150(C):** Streets intersecting with existing or proposed public highways, major or secondary arterials shall be held to a minimum.

116<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE is a minor arterial. The proposed plat intersects the minor arterial at only 1 13. one location and avoids intersecting with SE Petrovitsky Road, a principle arterial. The connections 2 to arterials or highways are the minimum feasible to permit development. This criterion is satisfied. 3 **RMC 4-7-150(D):** *The alignment of all streets shall be reviewed and approved by the Public Works* 4 Department. The street standards set by RMC 4-6-060 shall apply unless otherwise approved. Street alignment offsets of less than one hundred twenty five feet (125') are not desirable, but may be approved by the Department upon a showing of need but only after provision of all necessary safety 6 measures. 7 14. As discussed in Finding of Fact 4, the Public Works Department has reviewed and approved the revised street alignment. 8 9 RMC 4-7-150(E): 10 1. Grid: A grid street pattern shall be used to connect existing and new development and shall be the predominant street pattern in any subdivision permitted by this Section. 11 2. Linkages: Linkages, including streets, sidewalks, pedestrian or bike paths, shall be provided 12 within and between neighborhoods when they can create a continuous and interconnected network

3. Exceptions:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

26

a. The grid pattern may be adjusted to a "flexible grid" by reducing the number of linkages or the alignment between roads, where the following factors are present on site:

of roads and pathways. Implementation of this requirement shall comply with Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element Objective T-A and Policies T-9 through T-16 and Community Design

i. Infeasible due to topographical/environmental constraints; and/or

Element, Objective CD-M and Policies CD-50 and CD-60.

- ii. Substantial improvements are existing.
- 4. Connections: Prior to adoption of a complete grid street plan, reasonable connections that link existing portions of the grid system shall be made. At a minimum, stub streets shall be required within subdivisions to allow future connectivity.
- 5. Alley Access: Alley access is the preferred street pattern except for properties in the Residential Low Density land use designation. The Residential Low Density land use designation includes the RC, R-1, and R-4 zones. Prior to approval of a plat without alley access, the Reviewing Official shall evaluate an alley layout and determine that the use of alley(s) is not feasible...
  - 6. Alternative Configurations: Offset or loop roads are the preferred alternative configurations.

7. Cul-de-Sac Streets: Cul-de-sac streets may only be permitted by the Reviewing Official where due to demonstrable physical constraints no future connection to a larger street pattern is physically possible.

15. The project provides a grid connection by connecting the internal streets, Roads A and B, to  $116^{th}$  Avenue SE and SE  $177^{th}$  Place. The Applicant will provide sidewalks along its frontage of  $116^{th}$  Avenue SE as well as on both sides of internal Roads A and B.

**RMC 4-7-150(F):** All adjacent rights-of-way and new rights-of-way dedicated as part of the plat, including streets, roads, and alleys, shall be graded to their full width and the pavement and sidewalks shall be constructed as specified in the street standards or deferred by the Planning/Building/Public Works Administrator or his/her designee.

16. As conditioned.

**RMC 4-7-150(G):** Streets that may be extended in the event of future adjacent platting shall be required to be dedicated to the plat boundary line. Extensions of greater depth than an average lot shall be improved with temporary turnarounds. Dedication of a full-width boundary street shall be required in certain instances to facilitate future development.

17. A condition of approval will require extension of the alley east to the property line to allow access to the adjacent parcel. A further condition of approval will require the applicant to place the east 53 feet of Lot 5 within a separate tract. The tract will include ingress, egress, utility, common open space, and tree retention and will allow for future street extensions to the south at this location. No other future street extensions are possible at the subject location.

**RMC 4-7-170(A):** Insofar as practical, side lot lines shall be at right angles to street lines or radial to curved street lines.

18. As noted in Finding of Fact 3, Lots 1 and 2 as proposed to not meet this criterion. As conditioned, the side lines for all lots will be conformance with the requirement quoted above.

RMC 4-7-170(B): Each lot must have access to a public street or road. Access may be by private access easement street per the requirements of the street standards.

19. As previously determined, each lot has access to a public street or road.

**RMC 4-7-170(C):** The size, shape, and orientation of lots shall meet the minimum area and width requirements of the applicable zoning classification and shall be appropriate for the type of development and use contemplated. Further subdivision of lots within a plat approved through the

provisions of this Chapter must be consistent with the then-current applicable maximum density requirement as measured within the plat as a whole.

20. As conditioned, the proposed lots will comply with the zoning standards of the R-8 zone, which includes area, width and density.

**RMC 4-7-170(D):** Width between side lot lines at their foremost points (i.e., the points where the side lot lines intersect with the street right-of-way line) shall not be less than eighty percent (80%) of the required lot width except in the cases of (1) pipestem lots, which shall have a minimum width of twenty feet (20') and (2) lots on a street curve or the turning circle of cul-de-sac (radial lots), which shall be a minimum of thirty five feet (35').

21. As conditioned.

**RMC 4-7-170(E):** All lot corners at intersections of dedicated public rights-of-way, except alleys, shall have minimum radius of fifteen feet (15').

22. As conditioned.

**RMC 4-7-190(A):** Due regard shall be shown to all natural features such as large trees, watercourses, and similar community assets. Such natural features should be preserved, thereby adding attractiveness and value to the property.

23. As discussed in Finding of Fact No. 5, there are no critical areas on site. Significant trees are proposed for retention, where feasible on-site.

**RMC 4-7-200(A):** Unless septic tanks are specifically approved by the Public Works Department and the King County Health Department, sanitary sewers shall be provided by the developer at no cost to the City and designed in accordance with City standards. Side sewer lines shall be installed eight feet (8') into each lot if sanitary sewer mains are available, or provided with the subdivision development.

24. As conditioned.

RMC 4-7-200(B): An adequate drainage system shall be provided for the proper drainage of all surface water. Cross drains shall be provided to accommodate all natural water flow and shall be of sufficient length to permit full-width roadway and required slopes. The drainage system shall be designed per the requirements of RMC 4-6-030, Drainage (Surface Water) Standards. The drainage system shall include detention capacity for the new street areas. Residential plats shall also include detention capacity for future development of the lots. Water quality features shall also be designed to provide capacity for the new street paving for the plat.

25. The proposal, as conditioned, provides for adequate drainage that is in conformance with applicable City drainage standards as determined in Finding of Fact No. 4c. A condition of approval will require approval of final plans demonstrating compliance with the 2009 King County Surface Water design Manual (KCSWDM) at the time of the utility construction permit.

RMC 4-7-200(C): The water distribution system including the locations of fire hydrants shall be designed and installed in accordance with City standards as defined by the Department and Fire Department requirements.

26. As conditioned.

**RMC 4-7-200(D):** All utilities designed to serve the subdivision shall be placed underground. Any utilities installed in the parking strip shall be placed in such a manner and depth to permit the planting of trees. Those utilities to be located beneath paved surfaces shall be installed, including all service connections, as approved by the Department. Such installation shall be completed and approved prior to the application of any surface material. Easements may be required for the maintenance and operation of utilities as specified by the Department.

27. As conditioned.

RMC 4-7-200(E): Any cable TV conduits shall be undergrounded at the same time as other basic utilities are installed to serve each lot. Conduit for service connections shall be laid to each lot line by subdivider as to obviate the necessity for disturbing the street area, including sidewalks, or alley improvements when such service connections are extended to serve any building. The cost of trenching, conduit, pedestals and/or vaults and laterals as well as easements therefore required to bring service to the development shall be borne by the developer and/or land owner. The subdivider shall be responsible only for conduit to serve his development. Conduit ends shall be elbowed to final ground elevation and capped. The cable TV company shall provide maps and specifications to the subdivider and shall inspect the conduit and certify to the City that it is properly installed.

28. As conditioned.

#### RMC 4-7-210:

A. MONUMENTS:

Concrete permanent control monuments shall be established at each and every controlling corner of the subdivision. Interior monuments shall be located as determined by the Department. All surveys shall be per the City of Renton surveying standards.

B. SURVEY:

26 | All other lot corners shall be marked per the City surveying standards.

C. STREET SIGNS:

The subdivider shall install all street name signs necessary in the subdivision.

29. As conditioned.

### **DECISION**

The proposed preliminary plat is approved, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant shall comply with the mitigation measures issued as part of the Determination of Non-Significance Mitigated, dated October 30, 2015.
- 2. The applicant shall obtain a demolition permit and complete all required inspections for the removal of the existing single family residence and accessory structures prior to Final Plat recording.
- 3. The applicant shall provide a minimum lot width variation of 10 feet (10') minimum of one per four (4) abutting street-fronting lots or provide a front yard setback variation of at least five feet (5') minimum for at least every four (4) abutting street fronting lots.
- 4. The applicant shall provide a minimum of ten feet (10') of on-site landscaping along all public street frontages. A final detailed landscape plan shall be submitted to and approved by the City of Renton Project Manager prior to issuance of a construction permit.
- 5. The applicant shall dedicate 14.5 feet (14'-6") of right-of-way on SE Petrovitsky Rd (subject to a final survey). A final detailed street cross-section must be submitted and approved by the Plan Review Project Manager prior to issuance a construction permit.
- 6. A revised site plan shall be submitted to, and approved by, the Current Planning Project Manager and Plan Reviewer that identifies compliance with the development standards of the R-8 zone related to the lot orientation, width, depth, and size of Lots 1 and 2. A revised site plan shall be submitted and approved prior to construction permit issuance.
- 7. The applicant shall submit a revised Tree Retention Plan to the City of Renton Current Planning Project Manager for review and approval prior to construction permit issuance.
- 8. Lots 14-17 shall gain vehicular access to their respective lots off the alley. Lots 1 and 2 shall gain access from a shared driveway. Lot 13 may be accessed via either Road A or the alley. This shall be noted on the face of the plat. A final road plan shall be submitted to and

approved by the City of Renton Current Planning Project Manager prior to issuance a construction permit.

- 9. The applicant shall place the east 53 feet of Lot 5 within a separate tract. The tract shall include ingress, egress, utility, common open space, and tree retention. At the southernmost terminus of Road A, a future roadway sign shall be installed along the eastern most half the road improvements. A revised plat plan shall be submitted to, and approved by, the City of Renton Current Planning Project Manager and Plan Reviewer prior to construction permit issuance.
- 10. A street lighting plan shall be submitted at the time of construction permit review for review and approval by the City's Plan Reviewer.
- 11. The applicant shall create a Home Owners Association ("HOA") that maintains all improvements within the landscaping and stormwater tracts, as well as any and all other common improvements. A draft of the HOA documents shall be submitted to, and approved by, the City of Renton Current Planning Project Manager and the City Attorney prior to Final Plat recording. Such documents shall be recorded concurrently with the Final Plat.
- 12. The applicant shall record on the face of the plat that landscaping Tract "A" shall allow for future ingress, egress and utilities to Parcel Nos. 3223059291, 3223059211 and/or 3223059112. Tract "A" shall be owned and maintained by Kinkade Crossing Home Owners Association ("HOA") until such time as the HOA allows the tract to be sold.

DATED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2016.

Emily Terrell

City of Renton Hearing Examiner, Pro Tem

# **Appeal Right and Valuation Notices**

RMC 4-8-110(E)(9) provides that the final decision of the hearing examiner is subject to appeal to the Renton City Council. RMC 4-8-110(E)(9) requires appeals of the hearing examiner's decision to be filed within fourteen (14) calendar days from the date of the hearing examiner's decision. A

request for reconsideration to the hearing e examiner may also be filed within this 14 day appeal period as identified in RMC 4-8-110(E)(8) and RMC 4-8-100(G)(4). A new fourteen (14) day appeal period shall commence upon the issuance of the reconsideration. Additional information regarding the appeal process may be obtained from the City Clerk's Office, Renton City Hall  $-7^{th}$  floor, (425) 430-6510.

Affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes notwithstanding any program of revaluation.